OPEN LETTER TO 2025 G20 EXTRAORDINARY COMMITTEE

Taste of Africa;
Barefoot Scientist, Cedric R de la Harpe
University of Knowledge – Soweto
H. v. Europeaus *H. v. Afer3&5*

26 March 2026

G20 Extraordinary Committee

Constituted by His Excellency Cyril Ramaphosa,

Report addressing the "Global Inequality Emergency."

Dear Extraordinary Committee Members, I have many recommendations for addressing Inequality and Poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa, and have ten pamphlets on my "Taste of Africa" website six of which I shared for a week with His Excellency President Cyril Ramaphosa for a week, presently I am restructuring the site, but it should be functional by Monday 30 March 2026.

For 20 years I have been an activist for change, but the Neo-Liberalists just do not want to free the Africanist into the Economy, therefore, life is made difficult around every corner, out of respect for the fact that I have given our President till close of business tomorrow, Friday 26 March 2026, to respond to my issues, you will only see this document when I share it as an open letter at 18:00 tomorrow.

Maybe the fact that I am ignored, is that people believe there is nothing we can do to restore some semblance of equality, so before I go into the 'Introduction' mode, in "Box 1" I present the most important recommendation that will start to change the world, and starting right here in South Africa, restoration of equality, should not involve donation funds, it should directly be related to closing the Neo-Liberalist's extraction funnel, so I copy what I will eventually motivate as I move forward.

Box 1: Essential Action that is needed today

More importantly though, I submit that the CO2 kg per capita, as a Carbon Foot-print of 0,0082 kg per capita, for a large poverty population, that only has basic electricity needs, does not impact on the world**, and for this reason, the cheapest means of Generating Electricity, and that is with High Grade Coal**, and if this is the only aspect that the Neo-Liberalist would sacrifice, off the wealth that they have pocketed for 40 years, and are pocketing, **this is an essential right, that we can start giving back to our population.**

I am a white South African aged 78, my wife and I have dedicated our lives to rehabilitating the damage that the **H.v. Europeaus** have caused to the **H.v Afer** population in Sub-Saharan Africa, and such damage has directly caused the "Global Inequality Emergency", and today still, on a daily basis, the Neo-Liberalist

philosophy extracts every 'penny' that they can, out of Sub-Saharan Africa, resulting in the inequality triangle to get steeper.

I use the global wealth pyramid of 2018, have not found a more recent one, I think that the changes that take place from 2020, do not encourage the economist to publish, but we all know it is steeper.

For 14 months I have 'slaved at getting His Excellency President Cyril Ramaphosa, to meet with me, but as my wife and I are activists for change, so the Neo-Liberalist philosophy that was introduced into South Africa in 1982, does not entertain any activist for change.

In 2015, I predicted that the Global-Market and what we know as Democracy will collapse by 2028, and my predictions are becoming a reality, and we need to prepare Sub-Saharan Africa for changes in our interest, as the Neo-Liberalists have been debating how and where they can sacrifice a few 'pennies' to just keep the populations of Sub-Saharan Africa, from visibly being seen to be dying of hunger.

Your report of the "Global Inequality Emergency", item 3; covers Neo-Liberalism pretty accurately, it is the answer, if only we can find unity in solving the issue, I quote the introduction:

Neoliberalism and the growth of inequality in the modern era:
A series of economic policies that found favour from the 1980s led to steep increases in economic inequality in many high-, middle- and low-income countries. These policies can be thought of as having strengthened the disequilibrating forces and simultaneously weakened the equilibrating forces.

Mark Carney, and Marco Rubio, are two politicians who express their attitude towards the collapse of the Neo-Liberalist philosophy, and the need to restructure the system, but not one politician in the world has mentioned Sub-Saharan Africa, since the Tariff War arose;

"Living the Lie"

I quote from the Canadian Prime Minister, Mark Carney, Davos Speech:

"Living the Lie." ..... provided we lived the lie, the "rituals" that are performed at great cost, along with the rhetoric, will hide the 'reality' from both the beneficiaries, those accumulating wealth, or those "powerless" who became the consumers, the losers, the failures, those whose wealth and livelihoods we were systematically removing.

"Living the Lie"

We have heard Canadian Prime-Minister, Mark Carney, making it very clear that we have **'lived a lie'** where we went through 'Rituals' that satisfied both those extracting the wealth, from the losers, the consumers, and these 'rituals; in turn, satisfied the losers.

"Living the Lie" is an apt description of what has happened to the world since 1978, it is the only description that provides an answer to why, the 'Latipac-Generators[1]' and their families, children and grandchildren, have proudly celebrated the "Latipac[2]", and their expensive, expansive 'rituals', the Greed-Virus is so evil, worse than any devil sitting on your shoulder, you actually believe that the 'prejudiced', or as the Neo-Liberalist prefers to refer to this group, 'the losers', because they are smiling, you interpret this smile, to be believe that they are happy, and that they are also celebrating your wealth extraction.

And then the 'other Mark, Marco Rubio, the USA Secretary of State, when addressing the Munich Security Conference, on 14 February 2026, maintains the Neo-Liberalist's philosophy, that the Economic system that the 'Globe' had been following, had no name, no agreement, does not really exist, so this uneducated old layman, needed to read the transcript a few times, before I was able to extract, as closes as what I could, what had collapsed in the world according to the USA Secretary of State; I quote as follows:

And finally, we can no longer place the so-called Global Order above the vital interests of our people and our nations. *We do not need to abandon the system of international cooperation we authored, and we don't need to dismantle the global institutions of the old order that together we built. But these must be reformed. These must be rebuilt.*

The US Secretary of State, makes it very clear with regard to the intentions of the USA, ***the vital interests of our people and our nations*** is top priority, the Neo-Liberalist, Monopoly-Capital, in his words, must be reformed, no, reformed is the wrong act called for, they must be rebuilt, broken-down and rebuilt.

And finally, we can no longer place the so-called Global Order above the vital interests of our people and our nations

The Secretary of State, talking to those nations represented in the United Nations, represented by **"the system of international cooperation"** we authored, so managed / controlled by the **"global institutions of the old order, that together we built",** makes the following statement critical to the "Inequality Emergency" that my dissertation has analysed, and I quote Marco Rubio's important, very relevant comment: - ***And finally, we can no longer place the so-called Global Order above the vital interests of our people and our nations***

[1] ***Latipac-generator:*** *Definition: Persons cultivated to be a slave to the Latipac, once recruited, will religiously generate wealth for the Latipac, never questioning, for fear of losing their status, that separates the Latipac-generator, from the poverty group. (Origins Cedric de la Harpe University of Knowledge*

[2] ***Latipac:*** *Definition: Persons or institutions that accumulate wealth, without the Latipac-generators receiving an equitable share. (Origins Cedric de la Harpe University of Knowledge)*

I had presented His Excellency Cyril Ramaphosa, with access to my extensive proposals for what we should do to resurrect the Africanist in Sub-Saharan Africa, under the banner, "Africanist Resurrection" on the morning that I forward to His Excellency the links to my website, I include a paragraph that I had been reluctant to address until I had the opportunity to meet him personally, I quote;

> I present one of these pamphlets under the heading **SOUTH AFRICA BEFORE THE CUCIFIXION** that shows what South Africa's Economy was before the 1982 and 1993 IMF Loans, and how these loans Crucify our Economy, not only the South African President has knowledge hereto, but every other Country in the G20, have such knowledge, therefore the October 2025, G20 Report on the "Global Inequality Emergency", is nothing more than another of the expensive rituals, as the G20 know that the IMF is the weapon that crucifies Sub-Saharan economies, and lays then down to rest in this Global Economy Tomb, and the G20 believes that the Economy has been 'dead' for too long, and therefore, it will not be resurrected.
>
> **I submit that there is no law in the world, there is no constitution in the world, that allows any person, any politician, any Neo-Liberalist, to remove my livelihood, without my approval.**
>
> Buried in our ***"South Africa Economy Tomb"*** is the origins of the Monopoly Capital / Neo-Liberalist making a **decision that the South Africa grade A coal, has become more profitable to Anglo than Gold, and that we should go 'green'.** We close some 8 Coal-Power-Generating plants in the late 1980s, and the 'big rock' with its large Gold-Key, seals the ***"South Africa Economy Tomb",*** and that is the Gold-Key that we need to use to unlock our ECONOMY

A decision that South African grade A coal, is more profitable to Anglo than Gold:
I fear for my life, this open letter will first be sent to His Excellency Cyril Ramaphosa, in order that we can discuss how we rectify this issue without too much disruption, but if he fails to respond, I will publish, and if I am longer in the position to publish, this open letter will still reach all the members of this G20 Extraordinary Committee.

"Insight into Coal"
Our use of low grade coal, is one of the issues where the world as "Lived a Lie" and the and the **G20 Extraordinary Committee** will be presented with the typical Neo-Liberalist lie, in complete conflict with science, which I present hereafter:

RB1 Coal: Features, Applications, and Market Scope

RB1 coal is known for its premium quality. It is highly sought after in the market. **RB1 coal finds extensive application in electricity generation, especially in high-efficiency power plants that require coal with superior energy content.**

RB1 coal is also preferred for industrial processes where high temperatures are necessary. This includes the production of iron and steel. Its low sulfur and ash content makes it environmentally friendly and compliant with emission regulations. RB1 coal holds a significant market share in both domestic and international markets.

MY COMMENT

Now, in Eskom's case, we ignore the fact the burning the coal, and the generation plant are two differ parts of the process, off Eskom's Heritage site, I present details of a 1961 Installation, and it will be seen that the Boilers, and the turbo-generators have two different functions, and my calculations as we move forward, will relate to the design and capabilities of this unit.



RB2 Coal: Properties, Utilizations, and Market Trends

RB2 coal, although slightly lower in energy content compared to RB1 coal, is still widely utilized in various applications. It is primarily used in domestic power plants and industrial processes that require a slightly lower energy output.

RB2 coal finds application in sectors such as manufacturing, chemical production, and steam generation. While it may not command the same market demand as RB1 coal, RB2 coal remains a crucial component of South Africa's coal industry.

RB3 Coal: Characteristics, Usage, and Market Potential

RB3 coal, with its relatively lower energy content, is often employed in domestic heating applications. It is commonly used in households and small-scale industries that require coal for space heating and other localized purposes.

RB3 coal is more affordable compared to RB1 and RB2 coal. This makes it an accessible option for consumers with lower energy requirements. While it may not have the same market scope as RB1 and RB2 coal, RB3 coal caters to a specific niche in the market.

Which coal grade is environmentally friendly?

RB1 coal is considered the most environmentally friendly among the three grades. It contains lower levels of sulfur and ash. Reduced impurities mean fewer harmful emissions during combustion. This includes lower sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, and other pollutants. While no coal is entirely clean, RB1 coal minimizes environmental impact compared to RB2 and RB3. Using RB1 coal in electricity generation or industrial processes helps reduce pollution and supports compliance with environmental standards.

How does moisture content affect the efficiency of coal combustion?

Higher moisture content in coal reduces its heating value and overall combustion efficiency. When coal contains excess water, energy from burning is partially used to evaporate the moisture. This reduces usable heat. This increases fuel consumption and reduces operational efficiency in power plants and industrial processes. Low-moisture coal burns more efficiently. It produces higher energy output with fewer emissions. In contrast, high-moisture coal is less effective and can increase maintenance needs due to residue and ash buildup.

MY COMMENT:

South Africa, and not even using RB3, they are using lower grades of coal.

Barefoot Scientist Findings:

South Africa, while repeatedly telling South Africa, and the Global World, that we are committed to reducing our Carbon-Foot-print, during the 1980s, starts to export our Grade A / RB1 & RB2 coal, to Asia and Europe, and in the interests of a few, start to use low grade coal in the generation process. In the process of burning the low grade coal, strangely, and initially when I analysed it, the Carbon Emissions in metric ton, does not reflect negatively, yet, when we extrapolate the production of Electricity, which should have been 50,59% more than Eskom produced on low grade coal, then the Carbon Emissions increased to 33,39% above the level that would have emitted, had we used Grade "A" Coal.

The Neo-Liberalist philosophies have cost Sub-Saharan Africa, and other areas around the world, through the Global-Market, buying in bulk from Asia, using questionable labour practices, so I use but this one example of what the Global-Free-Market has done to South Africa, and Sub-Saharan Africa, in order that we may understand that what the Neo-Liberalist labels as 'losers' were created by the mindset that created the **"South Africa Economy Tomb."**

Barefoot Scientist presents details of the findings:

Eskom, notwithstanding the Kusile and Medupi installations, makes no provision in their documentation that they use High Grade Coal, with a Calorific value of 27,5 MJ/kg.

On one of their plants, Lethabo, they use coal with a Calorific value of 15 MJ/kg and lower.

Table III

Eskom coal specification and rejection ranges

Parameter	Units	ESKOM	Rejection
Calorific value (basis)	MJ /kg (NAR)	21	20
Total moisture	Maximum % (AR)	10.0	12.0
Ash	Maximum % (AR)	25–33	>35
Volatile matter	Minimum % (AR)	20	20
Sulphur	Maximum % (AR)	1.0	2.0
Abrasiveness index	Maximum	500	550

(Source: ESKOM Technical Team, 2009)

I submit that the only reason why this is done, is that they have a preferred supplier with the low grade coal in the area, and they are using him/her for that reason. Science dictates that any boiler can ve fed, with any grade of coal, it is but the efficiency that will be negatively impacted on.



In this chart reflecting the Coal Volume Required for 100 MW Output, vs Calorific Value, and the Escom Lethabo Low-Grade Coal, is depicted on the far-left, requiring 663 tons per hour, to produce 1000 MW output, and if Eskom used High Grade RB1, and RB2, that would require only 411 tons per hour.

There is a thread that runs through Google Research that will indicate that the Eskom Equipment, due to age, not sure what other reasons, can only, or was designed to use the Low Grade Coal, at 21 KJ/kg, this I never accepted, I was in Industry for forty years, and I have had extensive experience.

Google research exposes the motivation that Eskom follows, in order to use the low grade coal, and I quote for this Extraordinary Committee.

Coal in South Africa Coal reserves in South Africa were formed 250 to 300 million years ago, when South Africa was still attached to the super-continent known as Gondwanaland. The super-continent, which comprised Africa, South America, India, Australia and Antarctica, has since fragmented into the continents that we know today.

Our coals are moderately young (the age of the coal being referred to as its rank) ***and mostly classified as "bituminous" coals,*** *with coals in other parts of the world varying from "brown" (very young) to "anthracite" (very old).*

The anthracite used to heat our homes in this country are most often not really anthracite, but rather bituminous coals that have been heat-treated to devolatilise them and give them similar properties to true anthracite coals.

South African coal reserves were formed in fresh water swamps, and on the banks of a huge inland-sea that covered much of what we now today know as Mpumalanga. ***By international standards, our coal deposits are relatively shallow with thick seams, which naturally make them easier, and most often cheaper, to mine. South African power stations generally use low-grade coal for steam production.***

Eskom has succeeded at many of its power stations, specifically at Lethabo, to use coal which is of a very low grade, a Calorific Value of 15-16Mj/kg also with a very high ash content, ±42%. ***This is strategically significant as it effectively extends the country's coal reserves by using what was previously regarded as "real estate" to generate power.***

My Comment is as follows:

1. **Bituminous Coal has a Calorific Value of 24.4 to 32.5 MJ/kg, in general, all our export coal is min of 27.5 MJ/kg.**
2. **I draw your attention to the referral to our coals being mostly classified as bituminous**
3. **By international standards, our coal deposits are relatively shallow with thick seams, which naturally make them easier, and most often cheaper, to mine**

   Now, our coals are mostly bituminous, high-grade, making them most often cheaper to mine, and therefore, ideal for the use in the production of Electricity, which should be catered for before we export coal to Asia and Europe.
4. **South African power stations generally use low-grade coal for steam production.**

   Now, this comment, the general type of thread that you find on the internet, gives no indication that only low-grade coal is uses, and linking it to steam production, does not link it to the production of Electricity.
5. **Eskom has succeeded at many of its power stations, specifically at Lethabo, to use coal which is of a very low grade, a Calorific Value of 15-16Mj/kg also with a very high ash content, ±42%.**

   Now, this comment was written by a person, who is extremely proud of Eskom's success at getting their power station to operate at a very low grade of Calorific Value 15-16, MJ/kg, and to operate with a very high ash content of 42%, only investors in the Coal Export Business could be congratulate Eskom on that achievement.

   [At this stage I request the Extraordinary Committee to Google who all the Investors in Coal mining are, including the South Africa 'PIC' and just how much

money that State is investing in Road, Rail, and Port Upgrades, to ensure that the flow of Export Coal is not interrupted again.

6. **This is strategically significant as it effectively extends the country's coal reserves by using what was previously regarded as "real estate" to generate power.**
   Now, as part of the Poverty Group, I feel insulted that, everything that I have read in this article, appears to be related to Coal Generation of Electricity, and yet, the Coal Reserves are being used for the few individuals, who at low cost, are protecting the Coal Reserves for the Export Market, resulting in prejudice to the majority of the populations, whose livelihoods are systematically being remove from them, while the Coal Investors are getting richer and richer.



I repeat for convenience the above presentation, which reflects the amount of coal per hour, for the different grades, in order to produce 1000 MW. **So, Eskom Lethabo's plant, is** burning 663 t/h, times 24 hours is 15 912 tons per day, divide by 1000 MW, is **15.912 ton / MW.**

The above presentation, reflects the amount of coal per hour, for the **High-grade A, or RB1**, in order to produce 1000 MW, is burning 411 t/h, times 24 hours is 9 864 tons per day, divide by 1000 MW, is **9.864 ton / MW.**

NOW FROM THE ABOVE, my Google research on the production capacities of the early equipment, dating back beyond the newer Kusile and Medupi, I specifically Google the Umgeni Power Station, and take my information off the Eskom, Heritage-site, which shows that 2500 ton per day, generates **240 MW, this is equal to 10,41 ton/MW, only a small percentage, 5,5% off the theoretical 9,864 ton/MW off RB1 coal.**

I submit that the Umgeni Power Station, **a 1950/1960 plant** was designed to burn Grade A RB1 coal**, and therefore, all of the Eskom plants would burn RB1.**

For Confirmation on the 1960 Umgeni Power Station see screenshot on next page"





© Copyright
Cedric de la Harpe

CARBON EMISSIONS SOUTH AFRICA

	Elec GWh sold	Mt CO2	CO2 kg per GWh	CO2 Kg Per Capita	Population
1960	16 094	100	6,21		
1970	47 000	150	3,19		
1980	87 539	260	2,97	0,0087	30 000 000
2008	224 366	390	1,74		
2015	230 298	450	1,95	0,0082	55 000 000

COMMENT:
It would appear that, no matter what grade you are buring, the CO2 per GWh is influenced by the volumes of production.

The CO2 Kg per capita, of 0,0082, I submit does not warrant the Coal-Generated Electric to be taken into consideration, in a poverty population whose life-style does not consume high energy levels.

What are the Implications of using 21 Calorific Grade?

My first concern is that the low-grade gives off a lot of visible black smoke, and therefore, Eskom would be polluting the world, my first calculation on the Carbon Metric Tons at a specific time of measurement, in relation to the GWh electricity sold for the period, gave an initial indication that the use of the low-grace coal, did not negatively impact on the atmosphere.

Box "1" Recommendation off above chart, noting that the use of RB1, will still lessen the Emissions.

More importantly though, I submit that the CO2 kg per capita, as a Carbon Foot-print of 0,0082 kg per capita, for a large poverty population, that only has basic electricity needs, does not impact on the world, and for this reason, the cheapest means of Generating Electricity, and that is with High Grade Coal, and if this is the only aspect that the Neo-Liberalist would sacrifice, off the wealth that they have pocketed for 40 years, and are pocketing, this is an essential right, that we can start giving back to our population.

Why should I give anything back to the poverty H.v. Afer population?

The Barefoot Scientist, places in the public domain, his "My Way" calculation for what Eskom has cost the Citizens of the Country, when from 1983, South Africa joins the Neo-Liberalist Global-Market, and sells coal to Asia and Europe.

1 Kilogram of Coal used by Eskom, had 15% more ash than the RB1 / 2 that we export, thus, the 1 kg of coal, used by Eskom, requires an addition 15% coal, to achieve the same as the export volume, thus the Barefoot Scientist adds an additional 15% to the unit of 1 RB1 coal that we export, giving 1,15 Kg of Export Coal.

In order to calculate the Calorific Value difference, which is the heat liberated by the total combustion with oxygen, the Barefoot Scientist multiplies the 1,15 Kg of Export Coal, by the 27,5 MJ/kg of the Export Coal, and divides it by the Eskom coal 21,0 MJ/kg, which, according to the uneducated old layman, Barefoot Scientist, equals 1,5059, or in the layman's terms**, 50,59% more energy would be generated by Eskom.**

NOTE: Using High Grade R1 coal to generate Electricity, will generate 50,59% more power than the low grade 21, and where Eskom is using less than 21MJ/kg, we could generate even more than what we have been doing.

This table reflects the use of the Grade A, R1 & RB, through volume increased volume

Eskom will effectively save 33,59 percent per sold GWh, and in turn saving the world.

© Copyright Cedric de la Harpe

CARBON EMISSIONS Elec			Low Grade CO2 kg		RB1	&RB2 Mt CO2 per GWh
	GWh sold		Mt CO2	per GWh	Mt CO2	
1980	87 539		260	2,97		
	Low Grade	A Grade Coal:				
2008	224 366	337 873	390	1,74	390	1,15
2015	230 298	346 806	450	1,95	450	1,30
Sub-Total 2008 & 2015	454 664	684 679	840	1,85	840	1,23
				Percentage reduced / vol.		33,59

This is a straight energy calculation, and does not include the additional breakdown / maintenance issues that the dirty coal causes, and the cost of moving ash, in and out of the Plant.

In this table, I present the additional 50.59% electricity that could have been generated. Between 2008, and 2015 figures, per annum, Eskom could have generated and additional 115 000 Giga-watt hours per annum, an additional capacity of 9500 GWh. per month, compared to what they have been producing.

So the annual sales in 2015, if we used RB1, would have moved from 230 298 GWh 346 806 GWh an additional 114 508, it is not my task to analyse the losses we suffered through load shedding, and lack of finance to maintain the system, I do not know how I have been able to refrain from swearing.

Added to this, the cleaner RB1 coal would reduce the maintenance and replacement costs by 50%.

Age and my wife fragile condition, restricts me from delaying in order to get this document to President Ramaphosa, I am trusting that we can find a solution to the World problems, but greed is the evil.

Barefoot Scientist, Cedric R de la Harpe

University of Knowledge – Soweto

H. v. Europeaus *H. v. Afer3&5*

safari@tasteofafrica.co.za

This document is sent unsigned, do not have facility