

Ref PCR 000/01
28 November 2025
Cedric R de la Harpe
safari@tasteofafrica.co.za
Changed address for safety:
Mobile changed, still have access to WhatsApp text only 082 565 2520:

✓ **The State President of South Africa;**

The Honourable "President Cyril Ramaphosa".

Union Buildings, Government Avenue, PRETORIA -Hand delivered & Received:

Emailed to president@presidency.gov.za Mr Robert Hlongwane:

I apologise for the typing, spelling, setting, and the odd incorrect dates, spellcheck does not assist. I had cataracts covering both eyes, my left eye visibility about nil, my right eye, I had operated on World Sight day, 10 October 2025, by Dr Joanne Miller, I thank her, and all that assisted and contributed, it has improved, but my left eye bring confusion to the seeing, and my computer work still interfered with.

Dear Honourable President Cyril Ramaphosa.

RE: Evil greater than Genocide, I discover "The Supreme Fraud" in the "SCA".

In our earlier correspondence, I was addressing mainly the Department of Justice, as Respondent in our Civil Case Pretoria High Court, 15638/2018, Damages Claim for R 8 1050 000,00, and I remind you of the opening items in the letter served on the Presidency 22 January 2025, including three bundles of documents.

May I remind you of our demand for payment in January 2025, the refusal to meet our demand @ 15%, has now escalated to **R 25 773 724,33**, provided it is paid within two weeks, I reserve my rights, following the new discoveries I show here.

RE: "Serious Syndicates and Organised Crime Issues".

Mr President, the Director-General of the Department of Justice, attaches the description "**serious syndicates and organised crime issues**" to the very same suspended Master, that has brought fear for our lives, to both my wife and I, as a result of the occurrence in the Pretoria High Court "6B", on 31 October 2024. When we read the link to the "**serious syndicates and organised crime issues**" it brings even more fear to my wife and I, we need our settlement, as presented in our letter to the Minister of Justice, CD/02*, date 02 November 2024, in order that we may disappear from the exposure to this matter, and be in the position, to protect ourselves. such amount, escalating at 15.5% interest, is now **R R 22 380 319.93.**

Cedric and Jeanette de la Harpe:

*See CD/02 and paragraph 39, page 21 of this submission. Attached as Annexure pg 1to7

THE PRESIDENCY
RECEIPT OF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
28 NOV 2025
BY *R de la Harpe*
CORRESPONDENCE

From the screenshot on page 1, the same screenshot as the one on your first letter served on 22 January 2025, it is clear that I had identified **serious syndicates and organized crime issues**, much earlier than the SAPS General Mkhwanazi media broadcast in July 2025.

My request to you to allocate our issue to the Combined Portfolio Committees, fell on deaf ears, my approach to the individual Portfolio Committees, fell on deaf ears, the approach to Madlanga Commission, also failed, and then, on the **21st November 2025**, my criminal case, 409/2/2024, Defeating the Ends of Justice charges, involving SAPS, DOJ, NPS, 'triggers conflict in Pretoria Central Police Station'.

The Pta Central Commander of the Investigative Unit, Colonel Ndala makes an averment that brought great joy to my heart, I opened a docket against the police on **13 February 2024**, and now 21 months later the Colonel makes a statement that she should have made 21 months ago;

"If SAPS Officers are Accused, we can't Investigate, it must go the IPID"

For this reason, I have removed the Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development from my communication regarding our claim against, **the Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development, who is the Respondent in our Civil Case 15638/2018. "Damages claim for the amount of R 8 016 206,94"**, in which the Minister appointed Acting Chief Master of the High Court, Ms Theresia Bezuidenhout to depose of the Answering Affidavit, she commits perjury, twelve times, possibly more, in order to defeat our claim, and protect those who have misappropriated amounts of, at least R 8 400 000,00 and evaded tax of R 3 200 000,00, and like Colonel Ndala says, ***"If SAPS Officers are Accused, we can't Investigate, it must go the IPID"***, so, off this logic, if the Minister of Justice's appointed official is the accused, **the Minister of Justice can't Investigate.**

Mr State President; the Honourable Mr Cyril Ramaphosa, I have great respect for Mr Robert Hlongwane of the Presidency, and when he sends me this email advising me that our initial complaint to the Presidency was forwarded to the Ministry of Justice, my wife and I celebrated both Mr Hlongwane and the Presidency.

From Robert Hlongwane
To Safari@tasteofafrica.co.za
Cc [Nomusa Zondi](#), [Makhosini Makhubele](#), [Mike Louw](#)
Date 2025-03-10 15:32
Summary Headers Plain text

Dear Mr & Ms de la Harpe

Receipt of the correspondence addressed to the President of the Republic of South Africa, THE President Cyril Ramaphosa, is acknowledged with appreciation.

Kindly be advised that the matter was forwarded to Ministry of Justice as the best-placed office to liaise with. Please contact Mr Lebogang Tshabalala, Chief of Staff to the Minister, on 012 406 4660/ LeboTshabalala@justice.gov.za

Kind regards

Robert Hlongwane
The Presidency

I withhold expressing my anger that I felt about the lack of respect shown to my wife and I, by the Chief of Staff to the Minister, lest you believe that you should investigate and delay this issue, what his rejection does, is cause us to start exploring every other option, and in April we would send out a submission that included the **Supreme Court of Appeal**, the option that for I, for a short while believed would respond to our plight.

I submit these screenshots¹, (*see addendum page 1*) to give clarity on the SCA Case Number, and the fact that I have provided the SCA Registrar a copy of my Application, for she appeared to have found difficulty in finding my Application file, and note my introductory paragraph, giving reasons for why I had scanned my Application for Leave to Appeal, just in case:

¹ Addendum PCR, page 1;

I have scanned my docs and send in the Attachment, I have had documents and files difficult to find.

Re: COURT ORDER THAT REQUIRES YOUR ATTENTION, additional comment and documents

From safari@tasteofafrica.co.za

To [Simone Basson](#)

Date 2025-05-10 14:24

Priority Highest

Summary Headers

- Cedric de la Harpe, Pta High Court 15638-2018, Applicant leave to Appeal, SCA 734-19-05102025.zip(~13 MB)

SCA Registrar.

ATT: Ms Simone Basson,

The SCA Case Number is Ref 734/19:

I have scanned my docs and send in the Attachment, I have had documents and files difficult to find.

My issue is that I have no knowledge whether the Respondent opposed SCA 734/19.

When I visited with Registrar Myburgh, we spent an hour while he read the document and questioned me on every item, when we had completed, his words were, "I do not know how the Master allowed this to get to this stage?"

When I received the notice from Registrar Myburgh, I emailed him to inquire after a possible reason for dismissing our Application, I received no reply.

I phoned him a couple of times, but received no contact.

You will note that I only addressed the two misappropriation amounts, which are found in the Review A 124/2023 Heads of Argument, Discussion Point 3.1: R 701 000 paragraphs 54-58, 052-41 to 50 Perjury Charge 1; Discussion Point 3.2; R 2 550 197,04, para 69-61.4, 052-59 to 61.4, Perjury Charge 2; These two amounts are easily identifiable on the Master's Own Records.

The next two, would require Nedbank and the Attorney to give evidence, they are not directly related to us not being paid, and not a cause of action, just part of the overall collusion to extract every cent that they could.

Discussion Point 3.2, R 400 000.00, misappropriated by the attorney, Para 62-64.1, 052-52 to 54

Discussion point 3.3, R 6 000 000.00 misappropriated by Nedbank, Para 65-67, 052-54 to 57.

Having heard no reason for dismissing my Application, these two amounts, and a total of 20 perjures averments to hide the truth, stimulated me to take the Criminal Perjury route, Cas 869/9/2019, through to where we are today.

Mr President, if you and or your Chief of Staff, have read the above screenshot, you would know that I have made the R 8 400 000,00 misappropriation of trust funds by business, and the tax evasion of R 3 200 000,00 thereto, very clear in my pack of documents, previously served, making it an obligation to both the Political Administrators, and Appointed employees as Administrators, to read, and react to what the DOJ D-G refers to as ***serious syndicates and organized crime issues***, and where the Responsible person / entity, according to this Judgement, does not respond, he, or she too, is a FRAUD, and I quote screenshots below.

REPORTABLE JUDGMENT

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
WESTERN CAPE HIGH COURT, CAPE TOWN**

CASE NO: 679/13

In the matter between:

FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED t/a RAND MERCHANT BANK	First applicant
RMB PROPERTY HOLDCO 1 (PTY) LTD	Second applicant
and	
THE MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT, CAPE TOWN	First respondent

'where there is a duty or an obligation to speak, and a man in breach of that duty or obligation holds his tongue and does not speak, and does not say the thing he was bound to say, if that was done with the intention of inducing the

10

other party to act upon the belief that the reason why he did not speak was because he had nothing to say, I should be inclined myself to hold that that was fraud also.'

'Fraud is conduct which vitiates every transaction known to the law. It even vitiates a judgment of the Court. It is an insidious disease, and if clearly proved spreads to and infects the whole transaction.'

'No court in this land will allow a person to keep an advantage which he has obtained by fraud. No judgment of a court, no order of a Minister, can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by fraud. Fraud unravels everything. The court is careful not to find fraud unless it is distinctly pleaded and proved; but once it is proved, it vitiates judgments, contracts and all transactions whatsoever..'

South Africa has a Constitution that is celebrated by the Political claim that every Citizen has the protection of the Constitution, as they have the right to take the matters to court, and the GNU would also loudly and clearly make the claim that you should not make public allegations unless you have first achieved proof through the Courts.

An "Evil greater than Genocide"

My wife and I, in February 2018, launch our Civil Case 15638/2018 for damages, what happens on the 29 November 2018 Court Hearing, and then also at the SCA between the 28th June 2019, and the 26th August 2019, when the Respondent's Legal Counsel, with the assistance / accessories in both the High Court, and Supreme Court of Appeal, had effectively buried my wife Jeanette de la Harpe, and myself, Cedric de la Harpe, condemned to poverty by the Judiciary, this I consider an evil

greater than Genocide, a Genocide that could never be understood, unless you were a victim.

Condemning an elderly couple, Citizens of South Africa, who believed that the South African Constitution would protect us, condemning us to a life of purgatory, in conflict with the legal precedence, FIRSTRAND BANK v The MASTER, Case 679/13, where one of the many I letters of Request, letter of Demand, receive the same dished out to us, by the Supreme Court of Appeal, in August 2019.

Our Politicians, our media, claims that **Genocide** is not taking place in South Africa, this is but a counter-allegation, such counter-allegation, without research to prove that Genocide is not taking place, has no factual truth, you can't say that everyone in South Africa is a victim of crime, when a percentage are 'excessive' beneficiaries from the crimes, that are protected in our Courts.

What I have uncovered since the 10 May 2025 letter to the Registrar of the SCA, is that the Judiciary is trapped in the Network of **serious syndicates and organized crime issues**, that protect the beneficiaries at all costs, and removes the Constitutional rights of the South African Citizen, to have protection, and any Citizen without protection, lives in an evil world.

The world has heard the Government of National Unity, loudly claim that our Constitution and our Courts, protect every citizen, when I hear the UN Secretary-General respond to a media question during the G-20 meetings, "**What is your comment on the South African Genocide issue?**" his response is that this is a very difficult issue, it is seen different by different people, different groups.

My wife and I, two to four times a month, for the past four years, have sat in the State Hospital queues for hours in the day, as the State, through the State President and the Judiciary, the South African Police Services ignore our cries for help, I feel that I am part of the masses threatened with genocide, trying to survive below the extreme poverty line, and as often heard from my black brothers, where they claim that the rich of the world, wish them dead, I submit that the claim are escalating as the poverty and hunger escalates throughout the world, many can be seen as the victims of genocide, as the rich get richer, and the poor get poorer.

I have studied the South African Farm Murders, I have reserved publishing comment so far, but Mr President, the attached KILLING-FIELDS presentation², makes interesting reading, and I believe if needed, it will attract attention to my wife and I's plight

The misappropriation of the R 8 400 000,00 by Nedbank and their Attorneys, does not include the embezzlement of the R 835 539.07, which Grace / de la Harpe should have been paid, before any monies were paid to Nedbank, in terms of the Controlling Legislation, and the R 400 000,00 that was fraudulent claimed for a 'Collection Commission', was also removed when Grace / de la Harpe was still owed section 89 costs of R 1 235 537,09.

The tax that was evaded in 2008, R 3 200 000,00, @ 15,5% p.a. in the pockets of the beneficiaries thereto, at today's value is R 46 779 638.23, and such value, is denying those of us in the poverty group, food and health care services. My wife and I, have suffered in the State Hospital services from the time of the Perjury Committed by the Respondent, in Case 15638/2018 damages claim, November 2018.

² Addendum PCR, page 2;

Civil Case 15638/2018, I allege fraud by the Respondent:

From the screenshot on page 4, the letter to the Registrar of the Supreme Court of Appeal, you will find that I open Criminal Case 869/09/2019 for Perjury against the Respondent, the Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development's appointed Master, the Acting Chief Master of the High Court, Ms, Theresia Bezuidenhout, for Perjury, twelve charges of Perjury to protect the Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development, as I unlock more evil in the system, the Supreme Court of Appeal making no comment on my file following my letter, 8 May 2025, I decide to go back to the Civil Case 15638/2018 Pretoria High Court Judgement, which I questioned back to November 2018.

PRESTO; the Civil Case 15638/2018 file can't be found, I Google Search; Judge Matati's judgement can't be found, Judge Matati is not easily found on the web, maybe just my eye-sight that has failed, but then, I use a web-search that brings me sufficient, **to prove FRAUD**, and I attach a print-out, my tenth search over the past few months, always the same, (15638/2018) Grace Enterprises CC plus 2 Applicants, and the Respondent, the Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development, does not exist, it is but a figment of my imagination, maybe the Honourable Judge Matati, and the Honourable Advocate Ellis, did not exist, and I submit a copy of the November 2018 Judgements³ according to saflii.org, where our case is not reflected.

President Ramaphosa, as this letter continues, you will understand why the SIU, who Minister Lamola first, and then you as President second, appointed the SIU to investigate our matter, following our letter to the Minister of Correctional Services, MP Ronald Lamola⁴, 05 February 2020,

³ Addendum PCR, page 3;

⁴ Addendum PCR, pages 4-7; Letter to Minister of Justice, MP Ronald Lamola.

the SIU obviously found no maladministration, no misappropriation of Trust Funds,, not even the R 8 000 000,00 unlawfully paid to Nedbank, *prima facie* evidence, thereto in the Master's files and in the Civil Case 15638/2018 Respondent's Court Papers.

I specifically attach this letter to this letter, as Minister MP Lamola, by giving a mandate to the SIU⁵ to investigate the Grace / de la Harpe's letter, clearly shows that MP Lamola, as the Minister, had a duty and obligation to investigate, and according to the Court Judgement, referral case 679/13, see page 5 & 6 *supra*, he had a duty and obligation to respond to me, in particular, to submit the SIU report to me, failing which, he could be seen to be supporting the fraud.

Further, Honourable State President Ramaphosa, you mandated the SIU⁶ to investigate the Grace / de la Harpe's letter, which clearly shows that you, as State President, had a duty and obligation to investigate, and according to the Court Judgement, case 679/13, see page 5 *supra*, the State President, had a duty and obligation to respond to me, in particular, to submit the SIU report to me, failing which, the State President could be seen to be supporting the fraud.

Mr State President, as the writer of the letter to the Minister of Justice and Correctional Services, I make serious allegations, that should have been rebutted, if your investigation, if the Minister's investigation, found any of my averments flawed, why was I not charged with some crime?

Or did the SIU find the truth, they had the found that the Civil Case 15638/2018 did not exist, all a figment of the old layman's imagination.

⁵ SIU Investigator, Lukie Mashidi 083 492 2447 "9 December 2020".

⁶ SIU Investigator, Advocate Corrie Engel, 082 826 3951 "11 July 2022".

The Criminal Perjury Case, 869/09/2019, escalates into a Defeating the Ends of Justice Cas, 409/2/2024, when I discover that the Acting Chief Master of the High Court, with a SAPS(s) Officer, used my Complaint Evidence, and constructed a fraudulent Affidavit, to Defeat the Ends of Justice, resulting in the NPA, very irregularly, 'declining to prosecute; Cas 869/09/2019.

The Cas 409/2/2024 file goes missing in May 2024, when I find it on 9 September 2025, it has become a 'crime scene' with all the Complainant evidence removed.

This takes us to the Specialized Commercial Crimes Unit, Visagie Street, 08 October 2024, where Col Lottering assists the new Investigating Officer, to re-construct the file, and suggests that the matter should go to IPID, I am shocked about the new 'crime scene', concerned that the Supreme Court of Appeal, has not responded, and send the SCA another email on October 09 2025⁷

From: safari@tasteofafrica.co.za <safari@tasteofafrica.co.za>
Sent: Thursday, October 9, 2025 4:37 AM
To: Simone Basson <SiBasson@sca.judiciary.org.za>
Subject: Fwd: Re: COURT ORDER THAT REQUIRES YOUR ATTENTION, additional comment and documents
Importance: High

SCA Registrar.

ATT: Ms Simone Basson,

The SCA Case Number is Ref 734/19:

In September 2025, we located the Pretoria Central Cas 409/2/2024 "Defeating the Ends of Justice", file, it has been escalated to the "Serious Commercial Crimes Unit".

This matter, as seen from C(1) C(2) C(3) is a "Serious Syndicates and Organized Crime" issue, and they have found threads that link a number of officials from the original Pta High Court Case 15638/2018 through to the NPA, and the criminal case Perjury and the SCCU Investigator, has requested that I obtain a copy of the SCA Case 734/19, Ruling "Reasons for Dismissing my Application

⁷ Addendum PCR, pages 8;

We were already aware that the Civil Case 15638/2018 file / hearing / judgement had disappeared, so I indicate to the SCA, that we / me, was trying to link the situation with regard to the Civil Case 15638/2018 file / hearing / judgement, the SCA Registrar, an Official who on each occasion had responded immediately, refers my request for details to Mombulelo Mqula, who forwards exactly the same documents⁸ to me that I had received in August 2019, so I immediately respond, and attach the legal precedence that indicates the importance of responding, or being seen as part of the Fraud.

From safari@tasteofafrica.co.za
To [Nombulelo Vanessa Mqulo](#)
Date 2025-10-09 13:12
Priority Highest
Summary Headers

- Attach 05 Addendum 05 Firstrand Bank Ltd v Master of the High Court..zip(~119 KB)

Good day Nombulele,

This matter has reached the status of "Organized Crime", the Deponent of the Civil Case 15638/2018, Answering Affidavit has Perjury Charges against her, Cas 869/09/2019, and off this, Fraud committed in the 869/09/2019 Warning Statement, now has a "Defeating the Ends of Justice" case 409/2/2024 against her.

We have now accessed the Specialized Commercial Crimes Unit, and analysed threads that run from the 15638/2018 Answering Affidavit, through the two Criminal Cases, and in order to allow us to follow the threads, we need the comments / notes made by the Honourable Justices MBHA and MBATHA

I make it very clear to the SCA, that I wish the reasons off which the Honourable Justices, made their ruling, a Constitutional Right that was denied to us in August 2019, and seven years later, all we ask of the Judiciary, is reasons, comments / notes, made by Honourable Justices

⁸ Addendum PCR, pages 9, 10, 11, 12; Page 9, the documents shown in pages 11 & 12, the screenshots that follow on this page, is the de la Harpe, further request to the SCA: dated 09 October 2025.

MBHA and MBATHA, I quote off the email, I make the following very simple requests that should have been responded to in but a few days;

..... would like to see the thread, the RESPONDENT (the Minister of Justice) provided (to the SCA) to encourage the Honourable Justices to dismiss the Application.

The SCCU concurs the legal precedence (Attachment 05) that does not allow refusal, as took place 20 August 2019, but should the SCA have legal precedence, that shows that they do not need to give notes, off which they come to their ruling, please provide me with such precedence referrals.

I am only but the layman, facing the syndicate alone

Supreme Court of Appeal, I Google and Discover “The Supreme Fraud”

I allow a few days to pass, and I then start a very lengthy Google Search, and discover “**THE SUPREME FRAUD**” just six days after my SCA hearing, 19th August 2019⁹, and before I received the Case 734/19 Judgement via REGISTERED POST, the **Supreme Court of Appeal**, have registered Case number 734/19 and completed a Judgement in the matter of PIC v Moleketi.

The Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) case 734/19 in South Africa was a judgment by Tlhapi J in the High Court, which occurred in August 2019 and led to leave to appeal being granted on August 26, 2019. The judgment concerned the application for leave to appeal the judgment in the case In re: PIC v Moleketi. The High Court judge did not believe there were strong prospects of success, but the applicant's legal team made "compelling submissions" for an appeal to be heard.

Details of the Case

- **Case Reference:** This case involves the matter of In re: PIC v Moleketi.
- **Date:** August 26, 2019.
- **Court:** The judgment was made by Tlhapi J in the High Court.
- **Outcome:** Leave to appeal was granted to the Supreme Court of Appeal.
- **Reasoning for Granting Leave:**
 - The High Court judge was not convinced of prospects of success under section 17(1)(a)(i) of the Superior Courts Act.
 - The judge also did not believe section 96 of the Constitution was applicable and found that the privilege afforded by section 58 was limited

⁹ Addendum PCR, pages 9 &10;

No wonder the SCA Registrar Mr P.S.W. MYBURGH avoided me for weeks in September 2019, and no wonder I elected the Criminal Cas Perjury 869/09/2019, I have been dealing with criminals from the day that the first 2-million was removed in March 2002, and had I relied on the High Court and the Supreme Court of Appeal, I would not have the ammunition to fight-back at this stage.

My issue is that I have no knowledge whether the Respondent opposed SCA 734/19.
When I visited with Registrar Myburgh, we spent an hour while he read the document and questioned me on every item, when we had completed, his words were, "I do not know how the Master allowed this to get to this stage?"
When I received the notice from Registrar Myburgh, I emailed him to inquire after a possible reason for dismissing our Application, I received no reply.
I phoned him a couple of times, but received no contact.

Both the High Court Case 15638/2018 and then the SCA Application for Leave to Appeal, files had been wiped off the 'slate', this condemned us to purgatory in 26th August 2019, while the perpetrators of misappropriation of the amount of R 8 400 000,00 and tax evasion of the R 3 200 000,00 had already escaped prosecution and restitution on the 26th of August 2019,

Mr President, the Honourable Mr Cyril Ramaphosa, the Judiciary clearly showed that between November 2018, the High Court Case 15638/2018, and 26 August 2019, the Supreme Court of Appeal, Application for Leave to Appeal, Cas Ref 734/19, as allocated to me from the 28th June 2019, until the 20th day of August 2019, **was done so, based on the intent to 'dismiss our application', using unlawful, fraudulent corrupt methods, to make our claim disappear.**

Mr President, the Honourable Mr Cyril Ramaphosa, should the State fail to pay our damages claim, as previously demanded on three occasions since my communication served on the Presidency, and Minister of Justice, 23 January 2025, the amount today being R 25 773 724,33, on or before the 12th December 2025, I declare this date as the date when the State in not going to meet my claim, and within six months I will issue my Warning to Claim damages based on the Evil that I have just uncovered, we will claim for 'escalated damages', based on the criminal intent to by Criminal Network that has Prejudiced my wife Jeanette de la Harpe, and myself, Cedric de la Harpe.

FNB "Smart Account", Account Number, 62530610105 Branch Code: 250655

Commencing on the 13th December 2025, in preparation for the way forward, ***I will start blogging using hash-tags that will attracted attention***, hash-tags that will touch some of the thousands of those who have suffered any financial prejudice, through any of the Master's Offices in South Africa, where we will discuss the issues, and possibly achieve off this basis, as a group, our voices, and ability to fund legal action will develop during the next six months.

President Ramaphosa, "we have carried the ball since 2008" today "we pass the ball to you".



Cedric de la Harpe and Jeanette de la Harpe:

4705155127087

4909200111084

"B" 03

Re: COURT ORDER THAT REQUIRES YOUR ATTENTION, additional comment and documents

From safari@tasteofafrica.co.za
To [Simone Basson](#)
Date 2025-05-10 14:24
Priority Highest
Summary Headers

03-09

- Cedric de la Harpe, Pta High Court 15638-2018, Applicant leave to Appeal, SCA 734-19-05102025.zip(~13 MB)

SCA Registrar.

ATT: Ms Simone Basson,

The SCA Case Number is Ref 734/19:

I have scanned my docs and send in the Attachment, I have had documents and files difficult to find.

My issue is that I have no knowledge whether the Respondent opposed SCA 734/19.

When I visited with Registrar Myburgh, we spent an hour while he read the document and questioned me on every item, when we had completed, his words were, "I do not know how the Master allowed this to get to this stage?"

When I received the notice from Registrar Myburgh, I emailed him to inquire after a possible reason for dismissing our Application, I received no reply.

I phoned him a couple of times, but received no contact.

You will note that I only addressed the two misappropriation amounts, which are found in the Review A 124/2023 Heads of Argument,
Discussion Point 3.1: R 701 000 paragraphs 54-58, 052-41 to 50 Perjury Charge 1;
Discussion Point 3.2; R 2 550 197,04, para 69-61.4, 052-59 to 61.4, Perjury Charge 2;
These two amounts are easily identifiable on the Master's Own Records.

The next two, would require Nedbank and the Attorney to give evidence, they are not directly related to us not being paid, and not a cause of action, just part of the overall collusion to extract every cent that they could.

Discussion Point 3.2, R 400 000.00, misappropriated by the attorney, Para 62-64.1, 052-52 to 54

Discussion point 3.3, R 6 000 000.00 misappropriated by Nedbank, Para 65-67, 052-54 to 57.

Having heard no reason for dismissing my Application, these two amounts, and a total of 20 perjures averments to hide the truth, stimulated me to take the Criminal Perjury route, Cas 869/9/2019, through to where we are today.

Many thanks

Kind regards

①

Top 30 SAPS stations in Country 2019 to 2023
 AfrForum Map & PoliticsWeb Report 2016-17
 SA Stats Survey on Crime 2011 to 2014/5

KILLING-FIELDS

Vemba Distr. 2 Farm Murder = 2.7%

Com-Reported Serious Crimes = 1.12%

Jhb / Pta 42 out of 74 Farm Murders = 56.8%

Community-Reported serious crimes, = 66.1%

Mangaung Distr. 2 Farm Murder = 2.70%

Com-Reported Serious Crimes = 2.42%

Frances Baard Distr. 1 Farm Murder = 1.35%

Com-Reported Serious Crimes = 1.73%

City Wine Lands 0 Farm Murder = Nil

Com-Reported Serious Crimes = 3.38%


City of Cape Town 1 Farm Murder = 1.35%

Com-Reported Serious Crimes = 12.83%

Note: Murders not compared North to South:

- 2 West of Polokwane
- 3 West of Swaziland
- 3 North of Durban
- 1 South of Durban
- 2 South of Lesotho
- 2 East of Cape Town
- 2 East of Kzn

Total 15 of 70 = 20.0%:



<https://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAGPPHC/2018/>

November 2018

- Shabalala v Minister of Police and Another (13/37982) [2018] ZAGPPHC 905 (1 November 2018)
- ABSA Bank Limited v Loggenberg and Another (31306/2009) [2018] ZAGPPHC 915 (5 November 2018)
- Nedbank v Bonner (60445/2018) [2018] ZAGPPHC 957 (5 November 2018)
- Petroleum Oil and Gas Corporation of South Africa (SOC) Limited v The Commissioner for the South African Revenue Service (42716/15) [2018] ZAGPPHC 871 (6 November 2018)
- State v Meiring (39/2018) [2018] ZAGPPHC 820 (7 November 2018)
- Mining and Environmental Justice Community Network of South Africa and Others v Minister of Environmental Affairs and Others (50779/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 807; [2019] 1 All SA 491 (GP) (8 November 2018)
- Van Der Hever v Minister of Correctional Services and Another (14495/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 867 (8 November 2018)
- Mining and Environmental Justice Community Network of South Africa and Others v Minister of Environmental Affairs and Others (50779/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 875; 2019 (5) SA 231 (GP) (8 November 2018)
- Mqobozi v Minister Of Police (47070/17) [2018] ZAGPPHC 838 (9 November 2018)
- Super Group Trading (Pty) Ltd v Premier FMCG (Pty) Ltd (67574/16) [2018] ZAGPPHC 839 (9 November 2018)
- Fernandes v Parrish and Another (84986/16) [2018] ZAGPPHC 841 (9 November 2018)
- Botha and Others v Mashishi and Another (22049/18) [2018] ZAGPPHC 842 (9 November 2018)
- Linde's Trading Enterprise CC v African Dynamics Group (Pty) Ltd (70936/15) [2018] ZAGPPHC 844 (9 November 2018)
- S v Mazibuko (SS101/2018) [2018] ZAGPPHC 874 (9 November 2018)
- Cathedral Rock Investments 159 CC v Bloem and Another (59237/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 888 (16 November 2018)
- Mills v Coetzee and Others (39881/14) [2018] ZAGPPHC 941 (20 November 2018)
- Mills v Coetzee and Others (39881/14) [2018] ZAGPPHC 965 (20 November 2018)
- S v Mathe (CC145/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 891 (21 November 2018)
- Baleni and Others v Minister of Mineral Resources and Others (73768/2016) [2018] ZAGPPHC 829; [2019] 1 All SA 358 (GP); 2019 (2) SA 453 (GP) (22 November 2018)
- Mnisi v S (A772/2016) [2018] ZAGPPHC 882 (22 November 2018)
- Jacobs v Road Accident Fund (21427/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 830; 2019 (2) SA 275 (GP) (23 November 2018)
- Rabothatha v Passenger Rail Agency of South Africa (PRASA) (37116/17) [2018] ZAGPPHC 859 (23 November 2018)
- Trudon (Pty) Ltd (formerly TDS Directory Operations) v The National Prosecuting Authority and Another (43247/2014) [2018] ZAGPPHC 872 (23 November 2018)
- Trudon (Pty) Ltd (formerly TDS Directory Operations) v National Prosecuting Authority and Another (43247/2014) [2018] ZAGPPHC 900 (23 November 2018)
- Indwe Aviation (Pty) Ltd v Minister of Environmental Affairs and Another (14576/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 861 (27 November 2018)
- Witbank Bricks (1961) (Pty) Ltd v Vandu Mining CC and Another (2034/17) [2018] ZAGPPHC 897 (27 November 2018)
- Edani and Another v Pioen 1153 (Pty) Ltd and Another (3016/2018) [2018] ZAGPPHC 898 (27 November 2018)
- Bhika and Another v Gauteng Liquor Board and Another (54390/17) [2018] ZAGPPHC 856 (28 November 2018)
- Zwane v S and Another (A635/2016) [2018] ZAGPPHC 903 (28 November 2018)
- Minister of Police v Scholtz (A939/14) [2018] ZAGPPHC 907 (28 November 2018)
- Botha and Another v Jamanzi Live Line (Pty) Limited and Others (3453/2018) [2018] ZAGPPHC 899 (29 November 2018)
- ABSA Bank Limited v Siyabuya Investments CC and Another (59714/2017) [2018] ZAGPPHC 916 (30 November 2018)

(A10) (M-F/03) ADDENDUM A02/1

Cedric de la Harpe, ID 4705155127087 9 Banbury Street, Westdene, Johannesburg

safari@tasteofafrica.co.za

MINISTRY OF JUSTICE AND
CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
PRETORIA
082 565 2520
2020 -02- 05
PRETORIA
MINISTÈRE VIR JUSTISIE EN
KORREKTIEWE DIENSTE

(A10)

d

5. FEB. 2020

The Minister of Justice and Correctional Services:

Minister Ronald Lamola,

28th Floor SALU Buildings,
316 Thabo Schume Street,
PRETORIA

Copy to:
Chairperson of the Portfolio
Committee:
Mr. Gratitude Magwanishe,
PO Box 15 Cape Town 8000

81

Dear Minister Lamola,

RE: Maladministration and Corruption in the Master's Office:

Following ten years of suffering through the maladministration and corruption of the Chief Master's Office, my wife and I wake up to the news that you have commenced an investigation into Master's Office due to maladministration and corruption.

Although your investigation is focussed on the Guardian Fund administration, I request you to include the Liquidation Administration.

We become aware of the use of a 'fraudulent sale agreement' for the sale of a Hotel in Liquidation, and so commences an orchestrated collusion to prejudice us, intentionally withholding, first R 835 000,00 funds due to us, and then from 2010 to 2016, withholding an amount R 445 537,09 of our money.

The R 445 537,09 is only released when we report the tax evasion of between R 1 500 000,00 which is confirmed in the Master's records, and possibly as much as R 3 200 000,00. I further report that our R 445 537,09 was embezzled. The report takes place in December 2015, and the report number is IR 2015/12/65.

Following great effort on our part to get these matters investigated, the SAPS Commercial Branch eventually open a docket CAS 500/11/2016, however no investigation takes place.

We launch an application for damages restitution, CASE 15638/18 Pretoria High Court, we are unsuccessful, and we submit that the Acting Chief Master, Ms. Theresa Bezuidenhout, when deposing the Answering Affidavit, commits perjury, in order to hide the discovery of the truth.

MF 02

We have opened a criminal case, CAS 896/09/2019, Pretoria Central, against the Acting Chief Master, Bezuidenhout, and the Assistant Master, Shogole for perjury.

(4)

I extract details from the relevant charges;

A02/2

Charge 1:

6: CHARGE 1:

Summarised under the heading of R 701 000,00 unaccounted for.

82

7: Charge 1, is related to the prima facie evidence of perjury, by the deponent of the Answering Affidavit, in order to hide the discovery of the truth, with regard to the Complainants Founding Affidavit allegations that the Chief Master fails to take action in terms of Section (72) of the Insolvency Act 1936 (Act 24 of 1936), / Section 394 (7) of the Companies Act 1973 (Act 61 of 1973) off which the Legislature, specifically gives the Chief Master, the power to prevent the embezzlement of Trust monies, in order to protect the public from suffering financial losses, and I quote the Section (72) legislation provisions for this affidavit:

72 Unlawful retention of moneys or use of property by Liquidator

(1) A Liquidator who, without lawful cause, retains any money exceeding twenty pounds belonging to the estate of which he is Liquidator, or knowingly permits his co-Liquidator to retain such a sum of money longer than the earliest day after its receipt on which it was possible for him or his co-Liquidator to pay that money into a bank, or who uses or knowingly permits his co- Liquidator to use any property of the estate except for the benefit of the estate, shall, in addition to any other penalty to which he may be liable, be liable to pay into the estate an amount equal to double the amount so retained or double the value of the property so used.

(2) The amount which a Liquidator is so liable to pay may be deducted from any claim which the said Liquidator may have against the estate in question or may be recovered from him by action in a court of law at the instance of his co-Liquidator, the Master or any creditor of the estate who has proved his claim.

8: As representative of the Applicants in Civil Case, Case No: 15638/18, I deposed on the Founding Affidavit, that carries the evidence of the Application, off which we have presented our claim.

9: The deponents of the Answering Affidavit, we submit commits perjury in order to hide the discovery of the truth, and protect the Respondent, the Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development, from the Civil Claim.

10: With regard to Charge 1, as complainant I submit the Applicants Founding Affidavit, **AD PARAGRAPH [11] THEREOF***. - page 5 of the Founding Affidavit, pagination page 8, of the Court File.

** Page 5 of the Founding Affidavit, is attached as addendum "A1"*

Charge 2:

3: **ChARGE 2:** is directly to how the Acing Chief Master, when deposing paragraph 7.6, on page 23, of the Respondent's Answering Affidavit, and filed

5

as pagination page 277 of Case No. 15638/18 court file, responds to the Founding Affidavit, AD PARAGRAPH [4] THEREOF - page 2 of the Founding Affidavit pagination page 5, of the Court File, and also AD PARAGRAPH [14] THEREOF-page 8 of the Founding Affidavit pagination page 9 of the Court File.

83

4: Prima Facie Evidence, that the Acting Chief Master, commits perjury, we submit to hide the discovery of the truth:

4.1: The Acting Chief Master's deposed Answering Affidavit para 7.6* in answer to the Applicants Founding Affidavit averments, that an amount of R 3 000 000,00, is unaccounted for, such was a contravention of Sections (70) and (72) of the Insolvency Act, and the Chief Master is required to take action, and fails to do so, we will argue that this tactical burden, has no substance, that the paragraph is perjures, and is aimed at hiding the discovery of the truth.

Minister Lamola, the Liquidation Asset Administration uses a Fraudulent Sale Agreement, effectively allowing, according to the correct sale-agreement, R 10 million to be diverted 'outside' the Estate Account, we allege to avoid VAT and TAX, which, is between R 1 500 000,00 and R 3 200 000,00.

The two perjury charges, related to R 701 000,00 and R 3 00 000,00 to be unaccounted for in the Estate accounts.

During my first meeting with the State Attorney, these two gross irregularities, were discussed, and the implication that the R 10 million that bypassed the Estate Account, and that the underlying issue was the tax evasion of between R 1 500 000,00 and R 3 200 000,00, the R 701 000,00 would be recoverable from Kaap-Vaal Trust, with an additional legislated penalty in terms of Section (72) of the Insolvency Act, and that these transgressions took place in 2008 / 2009, my approach is that his client, the 'Minister of Justice' should consider restitution of the damages we suffered, and I would help the Master and SARS recover the tax and Section (72) dues, the recovery thereof, plus penalties and interest, would bring the State Coffers, more that our claim.

More seriously, is that this maladministration and tax evasion, would be endemic in the Master's Office, as over an eight year period, not an official reacts to my many submissions regarding this maladministration.

Minister Lamola, the legal system requires the State Attorney to protect his 'client' the State, does this require the State Attorney to close his eyes to the transgressions of the legislation, aimed to protect the public, which directly contributes to what we have in the Master's Office, maladministration, and we submit, the Acting Chief Master, by committing perjury to hide the discovery of the truth with regard to the

A0214

unaccounted for R 701 000,00 and R 3 000 000,00 is symbolic of just how endemic it is, her perjures actions, indicates greater issues.


84

Minister Lamola, the Chief Master has the most responsible position under your Jurisdiction, the department is dealing with other peoples money, and the legislation that should protect, almost does not exist.

My undertaking to work with your investigators to understand the maladministration still sands.

Keep well.

Kind regards



Cedric de la Harpe

PS: I use public transport and secure my phone when on the streets, should my mobile transfer to my mailbox, please leave a message and I will call back.

⓪

RE: Re: COURT ORDER THAT REQUIRES YOUR ATTENTION, additional comment and documents

07

From: safari@tasteofafrica.co.za <safari@tasteofafrica.co.za>
Sent: Thursday, October 9, 2025 4:37 AM
To: Simone Basson <SiBasson@sca.judiciary.org.za>
Subject: Fwd: Re: COURT ORDER THAT REQUIRES YOUR ATTENTION, additional comment and documents
Importance: High

SCA Registrar.

ATT: Ms Simone Basson,

The SCA Case Number is Ref 734/19:

In September 2025, we located the Pretoria Central Cas 409/2/2024 "Defeating the Ends of Justice", file, it has been escalated to the 'Serious Commercial Crimes Unit'.

This matter, as seen from C(1) C(2) C(3) is a "Serious Syndicates and Organized Crime" issue, and they have found threads that link a number of officials from the original Pta High Court Case 15638/2018 through to the NPA, and the criminal case Perjury and the SCCU Investigator, has requested that I obtain a copy of the SCA Case 734/19, Ruling "Reasons for Dismissing my Application

From Simone Basson

To Nombulelo Vanessa Mqulo

Cc safari@tasteofafrica.co.za

Date 2025-10-09 09:53

Summary Headers Plain text

Dearest Ms. Mqulo, trust that all is well.

It is hereby humbly requested that you kindly assist with the file below.

Regards

8

**RE: Re: COURT ORDER THAT REQUIRES YOUR ATTENTION,
additional comment and documents**

08

From Nombulelo Vanessa Mqulo
To safari@tasteofafrica.co.za
Cc Simone Basson
Date 2025-10-09 10:31
Summary Headers Plain text

- 1079-24_09102025102928.PDF(~421 KB)

Good day

Kindly find attached court order for your attention.

Kind regards

Nombulelo Mqulo
Supreme Court of Appeal
Crn. President Brand & Miriam Makeba Streets
Bloemfontein
9300
Tel: 051 492 4666
Email: MquloMN@sca.judiciary.org.za
Website: www.judiciary.org.za

**Re: COURT ORDER THAT REQUIRES YOUR ATTENTION, additional
comment and documents**

From safari@tasteofafrica.co.za
To Nombulelo Vanessa Mqulo
Date 2025-10-09 13:12
Priority Highest
Summary Headers

- Attach 05 Addendun 05 Firstrand Bank Ltd v Master of the High Court.zip(~119 KB)

Good day Nombulele,

This mattser has reached the status of "Organizehd Crime", the Deponent of the Civil Case 15638/2018, Answering Affidavit has Perjury Charges against her, Cas 869/09/2019, and off this, Fraud comitted in the 869/09/2019 Warning Statement, now has a "Defeating the Ends of Justice" case 409/2/2024 against her:

We have now accessed the Specialized Commercial Crimes Unit, and anaysed threads that run from the 15638/2018 Answering Affidavit,through the two Criminal Case, and in order to allow us to follow the threads, we need the comments / notea made by the Hinourable Justices MBHA Aand MBATHA

9

JJA.

As Applicant to the SCA my Application is very detailed, and makes serious allegations of maladministration to support my claim, and the Specialized Commercial Crimes Unit, would like to see what thread the RESPONDENT provided to encourage the Honourable Justices to Dismiss the Application.

09

The SSCU concurs the legal precedence [Attachment ATTACH 05] that does not allow refusal, as took place 20 August 2019, but should the SCA have legal precedence that shows that they do not have to give the notes off which they come to their ruling, please provide me such precedence referrals.


I am only but a layman, facing the syndicate alone.

Thanks

Kind regard

Cedric de la Harpe

10



B10

Supreme Court of Appeal, Registrar's Office • PO Box 258, Bloemfontein, 9300 • c/o Elizabeth- & President Brand Street, Bloemfontein •
Tel (051) 4127 400 • Fax (051) 4127 449 • www.supremecourtsofappeal.gov.za

Enquiries: Mr Myburgh

Date: 20 AUGUST 2019

Ref: 734/19

YOUR REF:

Mr Ezekiel Nkosi
Shop 10, Bothlongo Plaza West
271 Francis Baard Street
PRETORIA
0001

safari@tasteofafrica.co.za

YOUR REF:

State Attorney
Private Bag X91
PRETORIA
0001

Mr/Ms

**APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL
GRACE ENTERPRISES CC & 02 OTHERS v MINISTER OF
JUSTICE & CONSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

With reference to the application lodged in this office on 28 JUNE 2019 this Court ordered on 19 AUGUST 2019 that the application be dismissed as per attached order:-

Yours faithfully


COURT REGISTRAR
P.S.W. MYBURGH (Mr.)/SM

REGISTERED POST (H/B/D/O)

YOUR REF: 15638/2018 MATATI AJ (Court a quo)

Registrar of the High Court
Private Bag X 67
PRETORIA
0001

Copy for your information.



//



B11

SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

**CASE NO: 734/19
GP CASE NO: 15638/2018**

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICES MBHA AND MBATHA JJA
On the 19th AUGUST 2019**

In the application between:

GRACE ENTERPRISES CC 1ST Applicant

CEDRIC RAYMOND DE LA HARPE 2ND Applicant

JEANETTA DE LA HARPE 3RD Applicant

and


MINISTER OF JUSTICE AND CONSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT Respondent


Having considered the Notice of Motion and the other documents filed.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs on the grounds that there is no reasonable prospect of success in an appeal and there is no other compelling reason why an appeal should be heard.

BY ORDER OF THIS COURT


**COURT REGISTRAR
P.S.W. MYBURGH (Mr.)/SM**



The Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) case 734/19 in South Africa was a judgment by Tlhapi J in the High Court, which occurred in August 2019 and led to leave to appeal being granted on August 26, 2019. The judgment concerned the application for leave to appeal the judgment in the case In re: PIC v Moleketi. The High Court judge did not believe there were strong prospects of success, but the applicant's legal team made "compelling submissions" for an appeal to be heard.

Details of the Case

- **Case Reference:** This case involves the matter of In re: PIC v Moleketi.
- **Date:** August 26, 2019.
- **Court:** The judgment was made by Tlhapi J in the High Court.
- **Outcome:** Leave to appeal was granted to the Supreme Court of Appeal.
- **Reasoning for Granting Leave:**
 - The High Court judge was not convinced of prospects of success under section 17(1)(a)(i) of the Superior Courts Act.
 - The judge also did not believe section 96 of the Constitution was applicable and found that the privilege afforded by section 58 was limited to the National Assembly.
 - However, the applicant's legal representatives, Mr. Mpofu and Mr. Nguckaitobi, made "compelling submissions" under section 17(1)(a)(ii) for an interim order to be appealable.
 - These compelling arguments persuaded the court to grant leave to appeal.